

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,596	05/26/2005	Christian Spindler	NY-HUBR-1282-US	9219
	7590 09/28/200 & JAWORSKI, LLP	EXAMINER		
666 FIFTH AV	E	WOODWARD, ANA LUCRECIA		
NEW YORK, NY 10103-3198			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
		·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/536,596	SPINDLER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ana L. Woodward	1711				
The M Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHICHEVEF - Extensions of till after SIX (6) MC - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, red by the Office later than three months after the mailing arm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IS SET TO EXPIRE MONATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timediately and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status ,		1 1 2					
1) X Respon	nsive to communication(s) filed on	126/05					
2a) This ac	tion is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.					
1 ==	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of C	claims 2 g						
4) Claim(s) 19-3 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s	s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 49-32 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Pap	ers						
9)∏ The spe	ecification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applica	nt may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119						
a)∏ All	ledgment is made of a claim for foreign b) Some * c) None of:		)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
.3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)		_					
	rences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	sclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					

Application/Control Number: 10/536,596 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

## DETAILED ACTION

## Restriction Requirement

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 19-33 and 35-39, drawn to a graft copolymer, classified in class 525, subclass various.
- II. Claim 34, drawn to a composition, classified in class 524, subclass various.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product, and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.05(j)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as polymer in and of itself or in a blend with other polymers and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct because there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants.

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

## Election of Species Requirement

4. Claims 19-39 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species: the various species of grafted copolymer. The election of an ultimate species of copolymer comprising a specific polyamide base and specific grafted side chain is requested. The species are independent or distinct because they require different searches. Applicant is required under

Art Unit: 1711

35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/536,596 Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana L. Woodward whose telephone number is (571) 272-1082. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) 571-272-1000.

Anall. Woodward Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711